## MBC NEW BARLEY VARIETY MALTING/DISTILLING TRIALS

## **DISTILLING TRIALS DATA**

### **Guidelines**

Please complete this form electronically and return to <a href="mailto:tracy@magb.org.uk">tracy@magb.org.uk</a> or send hard copy: FAO: Tracy Lawton, MAGB, 1st Floor Exchange Business Centre, Water Lane, Newark, Notts, NG24 1HA

- Please provide details wherever possible.
- Some details may be "commercially sensitive" omit if necessary or replace with "conforms to profile" etc.
- It is <u>essential</u> that the trial and control barleys will be barleys sown in the same season; i.e. both Spring barleys or both Winter barleys (<u>no mixed season</u>).
- It is <u>essential</u> that the trial and control malts are both produced from barleys from the same harvest.
- The control batch may be the mean of several batches from the control malt variety distilled to the same target specification.
- For the test variety, please give an indication of the number of batches and total volume of spirit produced.

#### 1. TRIAL INFORMATION

1. Third of the second of the			
	Trial Barley	Control Barley	
Distilling Company			
Distillery			
Malt Supplied by			
Variety			
Origin of Barley (Specify Area)			
Crop Year			
Malt Supplier			

# 2. DISTILLERY DATA

Malt analysis data provided by Maltster /Distiller (delete as appropriate)

2.1			
IOB Malt Analysis Parameter	Units	Trial Malt	Control Malt
Variety			
variety			
Moisture	%		
Extract (dry, 0.7mm or specify mill			
setting)	1º/kg		
Extract (dry, 0.2mm)	1º /kg		
Fine/Coarse Difference (specify mill	_ / - 8		
settings)	1º /kg		
Alpha Amulaco	DU		
Alpha Amylase	DO		
Diastatic Power	° IOB		
	%		
Free Amino Nitrogen	(dry malt)		
Soluble Nitrogen	%		
Total Nitrogen	%		
S.N.R.	%		
Friability	%		
Thability	70		
Homogeneity	%		
Wort Beta-Glucan	mg/l		
Wort Viscosity	mPas		
Fermentability (unboiled)	%		
, , , ,	Lalc/te		
Predicted Spirit Yield	(as is)		
Glycosidic Nitrile	g/te		

Trial	Control

2.3 Mashing	Trial	Control
Number of Mashes	ITIGI	Control
Mash Vessel Type		
Process (eg single temperature		
nfusion, temperature		
programmed, number of		
vaters, etc)		
Vashback OG		
ИТЕ		
(% or 1º/kg)		
(% of laboratory		
HWE 2 or 7)		
Vashback Fill Time <i>(min)</i>		
Praft Analysis (% TAE)*		
Sparge (draft) Losses (%)*		
omments:		•

<sup>\*</sup> these data are essential to evaluate whether all the potential HWE/SY has been recovered.

2.4 Fermentation*		
2.4 Termentation	Trial	Control
Yeast Type/Rate (kg/tonne)	11101	Control
reast type, nate (ng/to/me)		
Setting Temperature (°C)		
(all fermentations)		
Fermentation Time (hours)		
(all fermentations)		
Comments:		
*where appropriate, state wheth	ner values quoted are distillery or l	aboratory derived
"shorts vs. longs" – define times,	comment on differences	
2.5 Distillation		
	Trial	Control
Still heating type		
(eg. kettles, indirect steam)		
Wash Control/Behaviour		
(eg. sticking stills, build up of		
material on pans/ kettles)		
Comments		
	<u> </u>	
2.6 Spirit Yield	<b>-</b> 1	Cartast
Mash bash Cuiuit Vialal	Trial	Control
Washback Spirit Yield		
(I alc/tonne, dry)		
Production Spirit Yield		
(I alc/tonne, dry)	at a difference as to the standard of the stan	
Comments ( <i>if there is an unexpe</i> 	cted difference between the two ti	ien explanation is requirea):

#### 3. SUMMARY COMMENTS AND CONCLUSION

This section is crucial in presenting a headline summary of the performance of the trial variety compared to the control variety.

- Please consider for <u>each</u> of the process areas, <u>and</u> for the overall spirit yield, whether the trial variety was POORER, AS GOOD AS, or BETTER than the control variety (*only one assessment for each parameter can be selected*).
- Enter concise comments in the appropriate boxes to substantiate your assessment for each of the key parameters.

	Processability			
	Mashing	Fermentation	Distillation	Spirit Yield
POORER than Control				
AS GOOD AS Control				
BETTER than Control				

Signed:		Print:		
_				
Nate:	Tel·	e-mail:		